


VISIT...

LANZAROTE
Caliente.COM



We believe
in Israel

Jack Sen ran for a seat in Parliament representing West Lancashire. Until less than a week before the election, he was running under the banner of UKIP, but he was suspended from UKIP for an interview he gave a South African organization, the European Knights Project (posted on April 12), and for tweets received by Luciana Berger, a Jewish woman who is a Labour MP. He has kindly agreed to respond to written questions.

UKIP is obviously struggling to not be seen as “racist” in order to be acceptable to the establishment and to White voters who fear and loathe multicultural UK but are unwilling to vote for a party labeled “racist” in the media. Is there a disconnect between White voters likely to vote for UKIP and the UKIP leadership?

Firstly, I'd like to sincerely thank you for giving me an opportunity to speak to your audience. You do very important work.

There is definitely an enormous disconnect between people on the ground — parliamentary candidates like myself and unpaid volunteers that support us with our campaigns — and the people running the party.

There's no denying that the vast majority of the noble people supporting our efforts are salt of the earth, red blooded Englishmen that want real change and to see a return to the Britain we all knew and loved. That's evident from the sorts of conversations I've had at local meetings and convention dinners. The average UKIP supporter recognises the catastrophic damage Cultural Marxism has had on our homeland and supports us — even offers up his time and money — in the hope we (UKIP) can make a difference. They might not recognise the Jewish element to Cultural Marxism, however they certainly recognise the impact liberalism has had on our society. From the intentional breakdown of the traditional family, to the pushing of alternative lifestyle choices on our youth, Leftists like Ed Miliband have reshaped British society from the council estates to the countryside.

Much like the Labour Party, UKIP relies upon societal discord to peddle its policies and candidates. Although people on the ground, with a genuine love of country, are buying into the 'Take Back Britain' message UKIP is peddling, I am not convinced the people running the party care one bit about

this country.

If UKIP were to come to power, they'd push a domestic agenda similar to Margaret Thatcher's, highlighted by deregulation, privatisation, crony capitalism, and the implementation of policies that for all intents and purposes prey upon the disenfranchised, albeit nostalgically portrayed working man. I recognized that quite early on and it's part of why I started to have issues with the party.

UKIP's intentions to privatize the National Health Service, frack our beloved English countryside, sell us out to their cronies in the City (equivalent of Wall Street), cut taxes for the wealthiest Britons, kill 'mansion' and inheritance taxes while reducing public sector expenditure, never sat right with me. This is even before I recognised how cosy with Jewish organisations UKIP were.

I suppose a fair comparison would be to your Republican party, who appeal to working class Whites to get elected but systematically oppose their interests once in office.

I merely think of Ted Cruz — a man that I believe is being groomed to be the Republican Party's presidential candidate by Jewish special interest groups. This is the sort of scoundrel that would do well in UKIP. His slightly ethnic face, nationalistic façade, and, most of all, his big business pedigree would propel him to the top in my party was well.

Where the Republican party and UKIP differ is on foreign policy. There is certainly a perversion of patriotism and true ethno-nationalist sentiment in both parties, but UKIP still has a more isolationist foreign policy than your Republican party, which appears to rely on Jingoism and the demonisation of the Islamic world to push its Zionist agenda. From what I know about American politics, and how Jewish special interest groups have infiltrated a Republican Party once highly distrusting of Jews, I'm concerned that it won't be long until we see the same sort of agenda in UKIP. Someone like Paul Nuttall — UKIP's second in command — would sell his soul for much less than what the Zionist lobby handed the Reagan Administration.

With Jewish-owned newspapers like the *Daily Express* already cosy up to UKIP, I think it is only a matter of time before we see the same sort of impact this side of the pond as well.

There are many White voters who fear and loathe multiculturalism

because they realize that these policies are against their interest. Nevertheless, they are afraid to explicitly identify as a White person who has interests as a White person because of media pressure and other types of social pressure, such as loss of job. We call such people implicitly, but not explicitly, White. Do you think it's fair that Jews, Muslims, and other minorities are free to organize and attempt to explicitly advocate their interests while explicit mentions of the interests of the traditional people of the UK are banned from the mainstream media?

Not only is it unfair that indigenous English people can not fight for their interests; I say English as it's become perfectly acceptable for Scots to fight for theirs north of the border, it's downright destructive.

I recall the former leader of the British National Party (BNP), Nick Griffin, being invited on BBC's Question Time simply so the Leftist audience and the program's Leftist and non-white pundits could have a go at him. During the hour-long program, Griffin referred to the indigenous English population's interests. As soon as he uttered the term *indigenous* the audience attacked. Griffin asked the predominantly White pathologically liberal audience why they didn't think that White English people should have their rights protected and interests served, and what was wrong with referring to English people like my mother, as indigenous. He was heckled, shouted down and made to feel truly unwelcome. It was quite upsetting to be honest with you.

The shouts of racism and anti-Semitism from the predominantly White upper-middle-class audience, were quite alarming. His suggestion that it was unfair that there were no organisations representing indigenous English interests was met with extreme hostility, in spite of the fact that he was right. Although I don't agree with all of Griffin's views, I certainly feel that the indigenous population that have inhabited the British Isles for thousands of years are being sold out, need a voice and their rights protected.

Sadly, UKIP, regardless of what we'd like to believe, does not offer that voice. In fact it is also why UKIP explicitly bans any contact with the BNP and any other 'nationalist groups'.

When you enter the party you have to state for the record that you've never been a member of the BNP. You are then grilled quite hard about your past political involvement when you go for your parliamentary candidate

assessment. Prospective members of Parliament like myself would be immediately banned from the party if it was discovered we attended BNP meetings in our youth.

Although UKIP claims that this is due to the stigma attached to the BNP I am not convinced. I personally don't think UKIP cares about ethnic interests. From the sorts of chaps I see at the events and drivel emanating from their mouths, it's quite apparent that UKIP's agenda starts and ends with big business.

Politicians must necessarily be concerned about winning elections, not necessarily saying what they really think. A [recent survey](#) found that 25% of British adults would like all immigrants repatriated. Do you believe that even though UKIP leaders have moderated their tone on many issues (e.g., advocating a points-based immigration system rather than one that explicitly favors the interests of the traditional people of the UK), that their true opinions are more radical and that in power they would in fact attempt to put into place policies that would favor the interests of the traditional peoples of the UK?

People on the ground are certainly quite hard line on immigration. It is in fact the reason why UKIP has seen such a massive increase in popularity. In my constituency, and in the north of England generally, there are areas that are 100% Muslim, others that are predominantly Polish, and the average Brit feels marginalised and a stranger in his homeland.

A few months back, one of my constituents in fact walked into a housing estate that had been taken over by immigrants from an Eastern bloc nation and literally started hacking random strangers with a katana sword. His reason? He was tired of seeing foreign flags hanging out the windows of the former English estate, and listening to people shouting in a foreign tongue in town. Those were in fact the reasons he gave the magistrate if I recall correctly.

And it's far worse in areas where Muslims have taken over. Islamic immigrants from the Middle East and Pakistan have no desire whatsoever to assimilate, and have all but teamed up with the Labour party to destroy Britain from within. Many of my supporters are cognisant of the fact that I am staunchly anti-mass immigration and have pledged their support for my candidacy due to my hardline approach.

Although I would say that the average UKIP supporter would love to see unruly, ungrateful, and unassimilable members of our immigrant population repatriated, the same can not be said for party leaders I've had conversations with. In fact I regularly received complaints from HQ that my local UKIP West Lancs [website](#) was too focused on immigration and critical of foreign-born people. I was even accused of anti-Semitism from a prospective member of Parliament because I dared ask if we'd be permitted to live in Israel or Saudi Arabia if we chose not to abide by their nation's laws. Quite remarkably I was asked to remove 'Israel' from that sentence as it was 'anti-Semitic'. Although at the time I thought nothing of the exchange, I now realise it was a sign of what would ultimately define my candidacy. With that said, UKIP is still more critical of our immigrant newcomers than all the other political parties; just for the wrong reasons.

I recently wrote [an article](#) arguing that in some parts of the U.S. it would be a good tactic for a candidate to be explicit about White interests. Do you think that might be possible now or in the near future in the UK? That is, could a candidate talk explicitly about the costs and dangers to White Britain and still be elected in certain districts?

Although it certainly seems logical, that's not on the cards at the moment in this country. One need only look at the fall of the BNP to know that although some elements of Britain's population do think in this manner, English law all but prohibits race-based discussions taking place on a political level — unless you're a minority, of course. The BNP, the sort of party that would advocate repatriation, was literally wiped out at the polls, receiving a measly 1,667 votes in all 650 constituencies at the general election. That was down 99.7% from 2010.

Unlike in the US, where you are protected by free speech laws, we simply aren't allowed to express our opinions freely. Criticising Jews for example can literally get you locked up. In your country the Ku Klux Klan and Black Panther Party are permitted to stand on the street corner and canvass with messages based purely on racial animus. Although people are persecuted for criticising Jewry, you're still legally allowed to do so under your First Amendment protections. In Britain, making an off-colour Facebook post with the word Jew in it might land you in prison. In fact a few years ago some local agitators decided to have a go at Liverpool Labour MP, Luciana Berger, and she had them [locked up](#) for what amounted to a little

‘trolling’.

In Britain, we aren’t allowed to criticise people based on race, even if it’s in a genteel manner and for the common good.

Besides, most of our society has been reprogrammed to such an extent we would rather allow our daughters to be raped by Muslim grooming gangs, our sons beaten to death by groups of Black ‘youths’ menacing our inner cities than be perceived as being racist. The fear of being branded homophobic is even more intense.

Jewish media influence at home and in Hollywood, and the Leftist educators behind the indoctrination of our children, are behind this madness.

To be perfectly honest, we Brits are almost pathologically politically correct. Not to the extent a nation like [Sweden](#) is, but nevertheless we are quite useless when it comes to discussing the importance of race as a determinant of anything.

To answer your question, there is a segment of the population that would certainly support policies that promote indigenous English interests, but based on the decline in numbers the BNP have seen this past decade, I’m not so sure people are ready for it just yet.

They might talk about wanting the country back, but supporting a party that discusses race would be a bit much for most people to support openly.

Relevant to the last point, I am very happy that you are continuing your election campaign despite being suspended from the party. Do you think that voters in your district will be put off by the controversy surrounding you? Will you lose votes or gain votes? Can you tell our audience a little more about the incident that resulted in your suspension?

Thanks for your kind words Kevin. I never entertained the idea of dropping out of the election even after my suspension. Although I immediately recognised that my campaign had been ruined and UKIP wanted me out, I was not about to allow a vile woman like Luciana Berger, who has ruined a lot of lives over the years, do me in.

I was lucky in the fact that it was after nominations were closed and UKIP were unable to remove me from the ballot. Although I wasn’t 100% certain at the time I’d still be on the ballot, I knew if I was, I’d press on.

Regarding the reason for my suspension: I was removed for insinuating on Twitter that a local Labour MP Lucy Berger of Jewish origin had dual loyalties between England and Israel, and because I dared to address the genocide of Western European people in the Old and New Worlds. I was also informed that an interview I gave the European Knights Project, where I hinted at the Jewish nature of Marxism and other destructive anti-Western movements, had something to do with their decision.

Although the Tweets were relatively innocuous, within minutes of the story breaking, UKIP suspended me. The story took on a life of its own, and within an hour or so my name was on every news channel in Britain. My mother rang me hysterically from more than 400 miles away informing me that news of my ‘anti-Semitism’ and subsequent suspension had been on the BBC ticker, and I was out the party and election. I myself only found out when a BBC reporter rang me, as my own party didn’t have the decency to ring me. (They still haven’t.)

Nigel Farage even took time out of his busy schedule to shame me on the national news. That’s how much pull these people have.

The Jewish News, the site that I believe first released the story, [wrote](#):

Following calls for swift action from community leaders, A UKIP spokesperson told Jewish News: ‘Jack Sen, a UKIP candidate, has expressed views that in no way reflect the views of the Party and any other of our hard working dedicated candidates. In the light of these and other comments Mr Sen has been suspended from UKIP with immediate effect.’

From running a pretty successful campaign to being dumped for a pretty harmless Tweet was quite a shocker. To say that it sent me reeling would be an understatement of the grandest proportion.

Making matters worse was the fact that I’d merely stated what most of Liverpool also thought about Berger — that she was not an ‘authentic Labour’ candidate due to the fact that she had been parachuted into Liverpool by the Labour Party elite, was born and raised in wealthy West London, educated at an all-girls boarding school in Hertfordshire and groomed for the job by [Lord Greville Janner](#), the Zionist Jewish peer who has been investigated for paedophilia on numerous occasions. Berger having “divided loyalties” stemmed from the fact that she had professionally lobbied for our money to be sent overseas while serving as the Director of Labour Friends of Israel.

Everything I said was based on truth. The only mention of Berger's Jewishness came from a *Wikipedia* quote, pulled from the internet.

I am certain even Berger, who has an uncanny ability to feign moral outrage over any criticism directed her way, knows the Tweets were fair, but decided to use them to her advantage, as she has in the past. I'm not the first person she has gone after for non-existent anti-Semitism, and I am certain I won't be the last. By now I'm certain Ms Berger has the *Jewish News* and groups like '[Hope not Hate](#)' on bloody speed dial.

Regarding my chances: the polls actually closed about 45 minutes ago. I am actually working on this interview while waiting for the results to come in. I thought it would be good to finish the interview before my views were skewed by the results of the election.

Although my area is staunchly Labour, and based on my analysis, about 40% of my electorate realize that I am no longer representing UKIP, I still think I have a chance to get a good result. In 2010 the UKIP West Lancs candidate finished with only 3% of the vote. I expect I will get between three and five times that. Because people do tend to vote along party lines in Britain I expect I will lose a few thousand votes as a result of the suspension.

(Update: I received 12% of the vote, taking in more votes than UKIP MEP's Paul Nuttall and Steven Woolfe, both of whom were high-profile prospective members of Parliament who also stood in northern England.)

Being suspended as unceremoniously and publicly as I've been definitely impacted my chances negatively. I was in the midst of a highly co-ordinated campaign that was making incredible gains. My goal of reaching 20% is now impossible due to the fact that many people think a vote for me is a wasted vote — sentiments expressed by many people I meet on my travels and who write to me via my website.

I've encountered scores of people that believe that if they vote for me their vote won't count, so it's been tough.

I had no idea whether I'd even be on the ballot or that I could campaign during the home stretch due to the fact that no one from head office would respond to my emails since my being suspended. I was blocked by my advisers on social media and literally shut down during the last week — the most important week, of the campaign. The only emails I received from HQ were ones accusing me of being anti-Semitic and informing me that I had

been suspended.

I was literally attacked in every major newspaper. Nigel Farage held a press conference to tell the nation how much of an embarrassment to the party I was. Paul Nuttall, UKIP's deputy, immediately gave a speech in which he ripped me to shreds, claiming extremists like myself had no place in the party and UKIP would stomp on people like me. The buffoon used a whole lot of hyperbolic language — never revealing what I'd said, just that it was anti-Semitic.

Being betrayed in this manner because of Jewish pressure has been a bitter pill to swallow. Nigel was in fact the reason why I became involved in UKIP, and for Paul, the local MEP for the northwest — someone that I have socialised with on several occasions — to attack me publicly as he did, tells me the people running UKIP are immoral and unethical ... and not for me.

Another result of the suspension has been the hatred I have received from local Leftists, who feel that because I have been suspended for 'racism' from 'racist' UKIP that they have the go-ahead to attack me.

This past week our chairman received several hate-filled letters, one of which included my postal leaflet in it. Some miscreant decided to scrawl a Hitler moustache on my face, drop an SS officer's cap on my head and scribble in the names of Himmler, Goebbels and other well known Reich officials below the faces of my council candidates.

I believe that the menacing letter was only sent because I was publicly reprimanded for criticising Jewish hegemony over this country and our way of life, and other off limits topics.

Then there's the impact it has had on my ability to campaign.

This past weekend I was accosted by a group of Labour campaigners demanding that I leave the market square as I was a "racist, an "anti Semite" and "Nazi". They engaged me in an aggressive manner waving their fingers in my face. Although I was out-numbered— I was only with my wife and baby daughter, I stood my ground and the cowards scurried off back to their holes before things got out of hand. Some lovely elderly ladies that happened to support my message, helped defuse the situation.

A man with a conspicuously strong Scouse (Liverpool) accent — the region

where the aforementioned Luciana Berger represents — attempted to drown out my legal right to canvass by shouting the word “anti-Semite” at me each time I attempted to engage people in Ormskirk (the regional seat).

It is my belief many of these people were paid by Ms Berger’s office to attack me during my scheduled street surgeries as their being at my events was too convenient. The fact that it never happened prior to the Berger Tweets tells me all I need to know.

Let’s just say that it’s been a tough go since Berger manufactured claims of anti-Semitism.

People often ask me why I was suspended for what amounts to a jibe at a rep from another party.

UKIP simply decided that it was better business sense to appease an influential Jewish group that funds them in London, than stand by their parliamentary candidates.

In the [EKP interview](#), you mention a “shadowy elite bent on our destruction.” In reading it, I definitely had the impression that you were referring a predominantly Jewish elite, or at least an elite that is substantially Jewish to the point that elite attitudes and policies would have to comport to the interests and attitudes of its Jewish component.

For example, you stated that “The west is controlled by Leftists that can trace their roots back to former Soviet eastern bloc nations-men like Yossel Slovo [longtime leader of the South African Communist Party], Ed Miliband etc.” Ed Miliband’s father is Marxist sociologist [Ralph Miliband](#); you stated that “Ralph Miliband emigrated to Britain and did his utmost to destroy his host nation.” You also mention [Dan Glass](#), [Eleanor Margolis](#), Nadine Gordimer (an anti-apartheid activist who received the Nobel Prize for Literature), [Barbara Lerner Specter](#), and Emily Thornberry. Of these 8 names, only one — [Emily Thornberry](#) — is or was not Jewish, and it is well-known that the great majority of UK Jews descend from those who, like Ralph Miliband, immigrated from Eastern Europe.

This would seem to suggest that you think that Jews have a major role to play in this shadowy elite. We at *TOO* have [claimed that Jews are a necessary \(but not a sufficient\) condition](#) for the current onslaught of immigration and multiculturalism in the US. Am I correct in my

impression that you think Jews are a necessary condition for the current program of destruction against the traditional people and culture of the UK?

Certainly. There's no denying that Jewish radicals are the progenitors of the vast majority of the intellectual and social movements undermining Western culture — from Marxism, the feminism ruining our families, homosexual advancement, and often counterproductive civil rights causes. Most honest people with a proper understanding of history recognize that Jews were and are at the forefront of these movements. Didn't Barbara Spectre herself admit as much? **[Ed.: [Yes](#)]**

I was certainly quite clear that I thought as much in the interview.

But I was just in the middle of a campaign, caught up in the heat of a moment with a very intense interviewer who wanted me to pin all our society's ills on Jews. Although I agreed with him on most issues, about halfway through the chat I realised that if I as much as sneezed the word Jew — even if i had the evidence to back up my point, my career in UKIP would be over at that moment.

In fact, it was my referencing Berger's loyalty to Israel, and not my claims that there was concrete effort to eradicate White South Africans, that would ultimately sound the death knell of my campaign. I felt guilty that I couldn't go any farther, but also thought the interviewer recognised that I was being quite clear, especially knowing the sort of audience the EKP website has.

But certainly I just personally couldn't take that chance so I decided to leave it out of the interview.

Do I regret it?

Yes and no. If I'd been suspended then I would not have been on the ballot. Procuring more than 6000 votes (above the national UKIP average), a 10% increase from UKIP West Lancashire's last showing, as a suspended candidate no less, was no small feat and something I am quite proud of.

Still, in some respects, I do, as I compromised my principles by biting my tongue.

I would have loved to have stated that the radical movements discussed — those designed with the intention of subverting Western nations, were

mechanisms used to advance Jewish group interests. I just couldn't at the time. I'm glad I have the opportunity to do so now.

One thing I do regret however, is putting my family through all of this — something you and I discussed during an earlier conversation.

We have been the recipients of numerous threats that the media and my own party have turned a blind eye to. Apparently alleged anti-Semitic tweets are a far bigger issue than the literally dozens of letters, threats and instances of serious abuse I've received.

And it's only gotten worse since the mass media jumped on me and UKIP threw me under a bus publicly.

Just last week some clown — probably a paid Labour supporter, felt compelled to post my leaflet back to my local chairman. He drew a Hitler moustache on my face, popped an SS cap on my head, and scrawled the names of Reich SS officers below my council candidates. Himmler and Goebbels apparently had been reincarnated in West Lancashire. (I asked the police if I could keep the flattering artwork as a memento but they refused)

Before that I received a dozen or so death threats in the post from an organization calling themselves [Stand up to UKIP](#).

Just prior to the election I attended a scheduled street surgery where I was confronted by a Labour party activist that shouted "anti-Semite" and "Nazi" at me so I couldn't speak.

On another occasion I was confronted by a group of Labour thugs in town. I was with my wife and daughter and literally menaced to a point where I thought the confrontation might get physical. My family was quite shaken up and it left me wondering if it was all worth it. But then someone has to take a stand.

My Nordic wife, English mother, and most importantly my 100% phenotypically white daughter, need someone to represent their interests and protect their wellbeing, and I have felt for some time now that I should be that person. That's what dads and real men do.

Sadly, as I'm certain you've recognised, the vast majority of White Western men inhabiting Northern Europe and North America are quite apathetic — one can say they've been emasculated beyond belief by Cultural Marxism,

evidenced by the tens of thousands of grooming gang rapes and not one instance of revenge.

After what transpired in [Rotherham](#), where White children were singled out for rape, and the White Leftist establishment and their dads did nothing to prevent it, I felt I needed to take a stand, not against the perpetrators of the rape, but the Leftist fiends facilitating it.

Even if I am one of the few men in Britain willing to sacrifice his career to do so, I've done my part and I can certainly sleep at night. I am sure you know firsthand what it feels like to be bullied and silenced because of your beliefs, and recognise the importance of speaking out and doing what's right for your family and country.

And I would like to add that the British gentile elite don't deserve a free pass either. Without people like Tony Blair who spent decades undermining our national sovereignty, activists like [Emily Thornberry](#) and White social service workers that facilitate rape on a daily basis, even men like Farage that authorise the suspension of candidates who fight back, and usefully idiotic White English liberals championing the issues that do us the most harm, we'd be fine. We Westerners on many levels are our own worst enemy.

What won't we do for a quick buck and a warped ideology we believe in.

In the EKP interview, you mention Hollywood movies that deal with the Holocaust and with slavery in the American South. You characterize such movies as “propaganda” and note that certain atrocities, such as the mass murders carried out by the Soviet government, are studiously avoided by Hollywood. You don't mention a Jewish connection here, but Jewish influence and the prominent of themes favored by the organized Jewish community in the U.S. have often been discussed on The Occidental Observer. Do you believe that Jews and Jewish interests are overrepresented in the U.K. media?

Certainly. The elite use film, the media and academia to undermine indigenous culture. Nationalism, patriotism, even love of country is often perceived as a threat and to be attacked. Historical events that may or not have even taken place, are exploited in order to further tribal gains.

In the US, an annual stream of Slavery horror films in which the Black man is abused to such an extent his descendants still feel his pain, is being used to incite hatred and breed the animosity that currently exists between Blacks and

Whites.

Most poor black people couldn't care less what happened last week, why would they worry about something that happened to their ancestors hundreds of years earlier?

They only do because they are being indoctrinated into hatred.

Sounds a bit conspiratorial but one need only look at how many films are released annually about slavery, the Holocaust, the Black civil rights movements, injustices perpetrated against women and other 'minorities'. Is there any wonder Americans are at one another's throats?

Over the next few years I expect Hollywood will do its best to whip your LGBT population into a hate-filled frenzy, as well. A few more films about Matthew Shepard will do the trick.

The British media is not immune from Jewish influence either. It might not be as conspicuous as it is in the US, where Jews control almost every facet of popular culture, but it is quite bad.

The current director of television at the BBC-the person responsible for deciding what we watch, is a man by the name of Danny Cohen. Prior to his appointment as Director he ran Britain's Channel 4 (Britain's second biggest private station), then BBC One-our largest station.

Cohen, like many high ranking Jews attended a Jewish primary school, and was active in organisations pursuing Jewish interests. To think his background has no impact on his decisions is mad.

And Danny, is not an anomaly. Jews are overrepresented across our media, as top newsreaders and media personalities, singers and songwriters, actors and culture creators. Guest pundits are often Jewish and names like Margolis saturate our print and news media. Again, pointing this out is not anti-Semitic or racist. Many of these people are more English looking than I am. This is just about elucidating the truth.

Although we are not as hung up on the Holocaust in this country as you are in the US, we are certainly saturated with pro-Jewish messages on TV and in film. There's no getting away from that.

My Cockney grandfather used to tell me-while the Englishman was acquiring

and buying up all the land, the Jews were doing buying up the world's newspapers.

Nothing's changed.

At TOO we have often disputed the idea that Jewish identities are irrelevant to political behavior and have made the point that Jewish leftists often retain a strong Jewish identity and pursue what they see as Jewish interests. Do you think that the Jewish identity of politicians like Luciana Berger and Ed Miliband influences how they would act on issues related to immigration, multiculturalism, free speech, and Israel — issues where the organized Jewish groups like the Board of Deputies have strong opinions?

Undoubtedly. Men like Grant Shapps-former Conservative Party chairman and current Minister of State at the Department for International Developments, are constantly pushing for us to escalate hostilities with Iran, while lasses like Luciana Berger- are often involved in, or chair Jewish think tanks, lobbying firms and organisations with explicitly Jewish interests, all while supposedly representing Britain's interests in Westminster.

Prior to being parachuted into Wavertree, Liverpool from one of the wealthiest areas of West London, Berger was President of Labour friends of Israel where she lobbied our government to send money overseas for three years. She only stepped down once she was gifted the safe Labour seat by Labour leadership.

Berger is in fact so obsessed with exposing anti-Semitism, she claims she sees it around every corner. She's more well known for feigning moral outrage and subsequently demanding anyone that criticises Jews be imprisoned for exercising their freedom of speech, as she did in my case, than serving her constituency-which was the point I was trying to make in those Tweets.

Berger's had several local lads physically locked up over what amounts to the sort of online trolling hot heads get up to when they've nothing better to do, has petitioned American twitter to ban people and block terms that she deems offensive to Jews, among a variety of other examples of behaviour that clearly demonstrate her fixation and the allegiance I referenced.

And this is not some newfound cause she's just started championing.

While serving as a National Executive Committee (NEC) member of Britain's National Student Union she was in a very public row with her fellow Leftist committee comrades over their perceived anti-Semitism. She ultimately resigned from the board, publicly accusing other NEC members of hatred.

So it's not like I criticised her without good cause, nor is it that outrageous to draw the conclusion she has divided loyalties-even a foreign one considering her track record.

Regarding Miliband. Although I harbour nothing but contempt for the man, Ed Miliband-the most high profile Jewish politician in Britain, is probably the least hawkish British politician of Jewish origin when it comes to foreign policy and supporting Israel. He has been condemned by many Jews for his voting record and apparent support of a two state solution. Miliband did in fact vote to push the British government to recognize the Palestinian state in a House of Commons vote last year.

Let's just say a lot of his Jewish backers were none too amused. My guess is Luciana Berger called a hotline or two as well.

Your interview with the European Knights Project was actually quite circumspect in not explicitly mentioning the Jews. But anyone who was informed would be able to join the dots. However even talking in code, it was still enough to get you suspended. So obviously the climate is far worse than many thought, about the frankness over the real causes of White dispossession and cultural Marxism — even within UKIP! Did you overestimate the extent of freedom of speech?

As naive as this may sound, I in fact did overestimate how much free speech one would be afforded when speaking one's mind about Cultural Marxism and Jewish involvement.

I care about Britain and a part of me believed that my party did, as well. Most of the people who volunteer for UKIP, run as candidates, and support us genuinely do. I firmly believe that. Sadly, I now recognise that party elite are more concerned with how they're viewed and the interests of their financial backers than the nation they claim they're fighting for.

Still, as my statement was made with Britain's best interest at heart, I thought I'd have a little more latitude. I didn't mention the Jews by name, nor did I

blame every Jew, just a few that are making a mess of my beloved homeland.

I honestly — and again this might be naïve — never thought simply alluding to Jews being behind the fall of the South African Nationalist government and subsequent genocide, and as you've stated, dispossession of Western European people, and a couple of innocuous tweets would be enough to have UKIP pull the plug on me without a hearing.

I still can't fathom how it's possible that I had become a national scandal.

Farage typically tells the media that he knows few of us by name and doesn't have an opinion on our comments. But in my case he held a press conference calling me an embarrassment — never once explaining why what I said was so embarrassing.

And this obsession didn't end there.

When I spoke to UKIP chairman Steven Crowther this past week, he again discussed the European Knights project interview, seemed to know what I'd said word for word. Considering how many of us there are, and the loads of trouble other parliamentary candidates have gotten into, one has to ask why my particular case was such a big deal.

It was because I dared mention the Jews.

Although the Muslims are protected, one can get away with criticising them. Several Jewish UKIP parliamentary/MEP candidates literally racially abused Muslims, calling them "savages", insinuating that Muslim constituencies would be involved in electoral fraud, even demanding their immediate deportation, yet in the press Farage called them Facebook mistakes.

It shows how much pull particular special interest groups have and how quickly UKIP are prepared to throw free speech into the abattoir when the Jews are mentioned.

I pointed out the hypocrisy to UKIP's chairman, but he didn't budge. When I now call head office I often open the conversation with, "Hi can I speak to so and so". When asked my name I typically respond "Je suis Jack Sen".

But then again it's not truly appropriate, as "Je Suis Charlie Hebdo" was not about protecting free speech, but giving people who incite hatred and

resulting violence, on European soil a free pass to do so.

Daily Express publisher Richard Desmond donated £1 million to UKIP. Do you think that this has had any influence on UKIP policy proposals? Has Desmond effectively made UKP into controlled opposition?

You've raised a great point — one that hardly anyone inside UKIP has even recognised. I sat down for a pint the other day with a local chairman who's also had some issues like me because he too thinks outside the box. I introduced the notion that UKIP is in fact controlled opposition. It was remarkable to see his expression change when he realised we were volunteering our time for an anti-establishment party that just might be part of the establishment.

Before joining the party I saw UKIP as an organic nationalist movement, supported by both patriotic civic and ethnic British nationalists. In stark contrast to groups like the EDL and Britain First which are funded by Zionist Jewish American financiers, UKIP never had an overtly anti-Islamic agenda and when we talked about immigration and grooming gangs it was more about rescuing Britain than attacking others.

The other groups I mentioned claim they're nationalists when what they are is serving Israel's and American neocon interests.

But, to be honest, I'm not sure if UKIP was ever the organic movement I once naively believed it was.

I say this due to a few things I have discovered since my suspension.

Firstly, I discovered that the man commenting in a major Jewish publication that covered my Berger tweets was someone by the name of Shneur Odze, a [Lubavitcher](#) who runs UKIP Friends of Israel. He is apparently an MEP candidate, good mates with Nigel Farage and Paul Nuttall and a regular speaker on the Jewish lobby circuit.

He has photos of himself with Nigel Farage all over his account. I then discovered he was also a follower of mine on Twitter. One need only connect the dots to understand what happened.



Shneur Odze

Then there's a conversation I had after my suspension with a fellow parliamentary candidate in the North West who, like most candidates I've spoken to, was very supportive of me. Unlike me, he was in a seat deemed winnable by UKIP HQ.

He detailed a conversation he had with a Jewish lobbyist in London, some 300 miles away. The man's organisation wanted to do a story on him, pay for a trip down to London and put him up for the night in a posh hotel. Question

is where did they get this contact info? He doesn't have his personal email on his site.

It was quite bizarre. He is a tad naïve when it comes to this sort of thing, so he thought nothing of it until I brought it up.

The fact that not a solitary soul at head office would even speak to me after my suspension tells me all I need to know about how they see candidates that dare tell the truth.

I'd like to add that although I was shut out, even blocked by top officials in UKIP, many of my fellow UKIP parliamentary candidates, disgruntled with the party and supportive of my efforts, did reach out. The support from people on the ground in my constituency has been wonderful.

Also, quite a few members of the British National Party (BNP) have reached out to me since the suspension, commending me for my decision to speak out. One of them offered to put me in touch with BNP party Chairman Adam Walker, who'd heard about how I had been treated by UKIP. Adam and I have spoken quite a bit over the past week. I am impressed with the manner in which he wants to take the BNP forward and was pleased to see that our ideals and vision of a better Britain lined up. It's nice to know authentic nationalism is not dead.

Was Enoch Powell right in predicting racial strife in the UK of the future? Or will the Brits muddle along without making any drastic changes as White Britain slinks away to oblivion?

The polarising Tory minister was spot on when he said there would be rivers of blood in the streets if we continued to allow non-Western people to flood our nation. And I am saying this from a unique perspective as my grandfather was of Indian origin. Although I don't present as Indian, I am obviously not 100% British.

This has given me the unique ability to take a step back and look at things objectively.

Ethnicities with very different cultures and religious backgrounds simply don't mix. Having vast swathes of immigrants landing on our shores only serves leftist politicians, big business and groups that thrive when the host nation is weakened.

Immigrants and minorities provide the people trying to destroy our societies from within the ability to incite hatred and collect votes: the political right benefit by importing cheap labour. Sadly, it's the people on the street that suffer.

Before the last election, I believed that immigration would be an issue that people prioritised when voting. Unfortunately, based on the results, I am inclined to think that nothing's going to change anytime soon.

Whether it's fear of being branded racist or historical party allegiance, I have no idea, but to vote in the two parties responsible for Britain's demise again and again and again, amounts to madness.

This is probably the reason why I joined UKIP in the first place. UKIP's position on immigration is that ALL forms of mass immigration are wrong. Whether it's from White or non-White nations, due to the impact it would have on Britain's unique culture. As a British culturalist, I want to see Britain's indigenous culture protected at all costs.

And this is where my opinions vary to a great degree from many self-described White Nationalists. I believe that whether mass immigration originates in Africa or Eastern Europe, it's detrimental to British society. For me this is more about preserving indigenous people and culture, that happen to be White.

An organic assimilation of people we hand pick to move into our country is very different than encouraging mass immigration as our government have done for decades. If I had my way, I'd close all the borders and repatriate anyone who wasn't able to, or refused to assimilate. We'd only take immigrants deemed culturally assimilable.

I am certain if the great Enoch Powell were alive today he'd be fighting mass immigration whether it was from Eastern Europe or sub-Saharan Africa, as he did the West Indies.

Would you agree that devoting all our energies to tackling the Islamic issue, is to fall into a trap — that it keeps us from seeing who the main culprits are, organized Jews and White elites in partnership?

Yes, certainly. Islamic radicalism is a threat, but only because we continue to allow it to be. We need to impose a moratorium on non-Western immigration

into Britain, implement and enforce laws that prevent radicalization, and cease invading and destabilizing foreign countries.

A stable Libya and North Africa were what stood between us and millions of African migrants. One has to ask which nations and special interests groups pushed us into conflict with Libya, and opened our floodgates in the process. It's the same ones that took us into war in Iraq, pushed us into arming the rebels in Syria and are now encouraging us to attack Iran.

I believe we need to start holding the people behind our foreign policy and push for open borders accountable for their actions. Although it's easy to hate people committing crimes and corrupting our culture on the ground, we'd be better served focusing on the politicians, journalists, policy makers, lawyers and activists behind the madness.

We also need to be careful not to allow our corrupted media to focus too much of our attention on Islam due to the catastrophic repercussions it can have on our soil. I merely think of Zionist terrorist Anders Breivik, who'd been incited by the likes of Pamela Geller and Debbie Schussel to kill scores of innocent Norwegian children. Both those women, in my opinion, should be charged with inciting mass murder. They're as responsible as Breivik.

In fact the aforementioned Geller and Schussel both had the audacity to sympathise with Breivik afterwards.

We need to move away from what has been referred to as Kosher nationalism before more even more blood is spilled.

Would you agree that the whole issue of indigenous dispossession cannot be tackled without Whites being able to freely discuss the Jewish question head on? That it is too central a component to ignore? If so, how would you go about this?

I would certainly agree. Without addressing the root causes of our demise there's no way we will be able to implement the changes needed.

We need to facilitate an environment where constructive criticism of outgroups is possible without the fear of recrimination.

Allowing Europeans to freely criticise people that undermine their interests is the key. Being able to criticise Jews, not on their Jewishness, but their allegiances and agendas they push, as I tried to do when I Tweeted Jewish

Labour MP, Luciana Berger, will facilitate that.

Mere mention that Berger had foreign loyalties was enough for Nigel Farage to hold a press conference on national television, say I embarrassed the party and immediately suspend me.

What sort of message does that send to other people wishing to express these same sentiments?

Knowing what you know about UKIP, do you regret running? What was behind your running in the first place?

Not at all.

My desire to do right by my family, my ancestral home, West Lancashire, the innocent children I see being harmed by our establishment in Britain and abroad is all that matters to me. Running as a prospective member of parliament for UKIP and being as unceremoniously suspended as I was, has given me an opportunity to reach a lot of people. For that I am thankful.

Without my being suspended, my voice would have had less of an impact. Although people in the South African community would have heard my roar, I doubt Britain would have heard a peep.

You are quite familiar with the situation in South Africa. How would you describe it now, and how do you see it in 10–20 years? Given the conditions in South Africa, should White South Africans be allowed to immigrate to countries like the UK and the Netherlands where their ancestors originated? If so, how can this be facilitated?

I've been exposing the injustices facing minority South Africans for several years now.

My commentary on the ongoing genocide perpetrated against South Africa's minority communities, of which my Afrikaner grandmother and Anglo-Indian grandfather were part of, and work as Director and Spokesman for the NGO, [Stop the Killing](#), has been shared in literally dozens of English and Afrikaans South African publications, including Dan Roodt's Praag.

Dan in fact wrote an [open letter](#) to Nigel Farage after my suspension, informing him that UKIP's actions would result in the loss of tens of thousands of South African expat votes.

My desire to see political recognition of the genocide of all Western people

was one of the primary reasons I became involved in UKIP in the first place — the one party I was hopeful would show concern for this very important humanitarian cause. UKIP also knew this early on.

The situation in South Africa is dire, to say the least. Minority populations are being ethnically cleansed out of existence as the international media look on in amusement. I believe this is transpiring as the minority South African and Afrikaner in particular, is unfairly vilified as he/she is seen by the international Left as the last vestige of colonial rule. It's why the genocide continues and people look on apathetically — sometimes even cheering for carnage. It is also why the Leftist international media do their best to conceal what's happening to South Africa's minority population, and why the situation won't be improving anytime soon.

Regarding whether we should, or as I believe, have an obligation to take South Africans with historical ties to Britain. I'd say yes, certainly. The problem is, under our current immigration policy, it's simply not possible.

Britain, England in particular, is bursting at the seams, literally flooded with culturally incompatible migrants from Eastern European, Africa, Asia and the Mid-East.

Withdrawing from the European Union and implementing an Australian style points-based immigration policy would enable us to allow entry to the culturally and ethnically compatible people from South Africa, into Britain. Currently, due to Britain's membership in the EU, Britain is forced to take immigrants from former nation states of the former Soviet Union, and, due to EU law, non-White 'migrants' invading Western Europe. Because of our downright suicidal immigration policy not only do we reject people that can actually help us, we are rejecting people we can assist — i.e., minority South Africans. There's simply no place for people hailing from South Africa under our EU imposed immigration policy.

Then there are our very own immigration needs. Britain's inability to dictate its own immigration policy also means we're simply unable to import much needed doctors, nurses, scientists and engineers required to drive our flourishing economy.

To fill our skills voids, I believe we should also be looking to South Africa

and our cousins in Western Europe. Brits would welcome Portuguese, Italians, Spaniards and ethnically compatible Commonwealth people with open arms.

Minority South Africans are honest, speak our language, are culturally compatible. They typically have money in their bank accounts to pay their own way, and are often ready and willing to buy property. They do not need and would be ineligible for benefits, do not perpetrate crime, and have historical ties to our lands — often times even an English parent or spouse, and generally would make good citizens.

And to my critics in the press that have claimed that my controversial immigration proposal is racist I often point to the fact that besides blocking Third World migrants from entering the nation, my proposal would call for a moratorium on immigration of people hailing from Eastern bloc nations.

Although White Eastern Europeans make up less than 2% of Britain's population, migrants commit more than 20% of the crime in London, with people from Poland and Baltic nations topping the list. It costs us literally hundreds of millions of pounds annually to incarcerate new-EU migrants, not to mention the monumental human toll they impose on their victims and on society generally. Polish is in fact now Britain's second most spoken language, ahead of even indigenous Welsh. There are some towns where one has to speak Polish to get a job. In my constituency a local factory fired a Portuguese manager due to the fact he didn't speak Polish. He was fluent in English, Portuguese and Spanish.

Ending EU migration would immediately reduce the 'cultural footprint' uncontrolled immigration is having on my country.

In your interview on the European Knight project website you referenced the people behind the rise of South Africa's ANC, stating "The West is controlled by Leftists that can trace their roots back to former Soviet eastern bloc nations — men like Yossel Slovo [longtime leader of the South African Communist Party]." Can you elaborate?

There was a revealing [story](#) I believe published in *Haaretz* that claimed that the head count of the "white opponents (terrorist wing of the ANC) of *apartheid* read like a census list from one of the old *shtetls* in Lithuania."

And they weren't exaggerating.

Marxist radicals in South Africa, as is the case in contemporary Britain and the US — and as was the case in Czarist Russia — were undeniably predominantly Jewish. That's historical fact, not anti-Semitism as the media purport. [Ed.: See also Sam Davidson, "[The Role of Jews in South Africa since 1948](#)," *The Occidental Quarterly* 11(2) (Summer 2011), 51–88.]

Yossel Slovo, Harold Wolpe, Ruth First, Albie Sachs, Ronald Segal, Dennis Goldberg, Rusty Bernstein, Solly Sachs, [Helen Suzman](#), Raymond Suttner, Ray Simons, Wolfie Kodish are just a few of the names people familiar with the militant wing of the ANC will recognize. They were all Jewish.

Then there were the journalists and writers living in their comfy all-White suburbs that incited violence that impacted the nation's poorest people — that are still responsible for inciting the racial hatred that exists in South Africa today. Women like Nadine Gordimer, winner of the Nobel Prize in Literature for her [writing in opposition to apartheid](#) and whose family all fled to New York once the ANC came to power, had a major hand in South Africa's demise. They too were predominantly Jewish.



Nadine Gordimer with Nelson Mandela

I'm not saying change wasn't necessary — just that this was never about human rights with these radicals. It was about carnage.

To deny Jewish involvement in the bloodshed-something *Haaretz* has no issue admitting, would be as academically irresponsible as to deny Jewish involvement in mass murder in the USSR, including the Holodomor, or Turkish involvement in the genocide of the Armenian Christians. And my pointing it out is not anti-Semitic. It's just being honest.

Interestingly, after the interview I gave the European Knights project website where I'd been accused of anti-Semitism by the junior *Mail on Sunday* reporter that broke the story, UKIP brass were more bothered with the fact that I'd referred to Nelson Mandela as a terrorist than my insinuation that the bulk of the West's leading Marxist terrorists were of Jewish origin.

UKIP's head of candidates, David Soutter, told me in no uncertain terms that if had he known I thought that Mandela was a terrorist, I would never have been named a candidate.

I found that quite amusing.

Another top UKIP person told me that if he was Mandela, he'd "have killed more people in order to liberate his own." When I pointed out that most of Mandela's victims were black moderates he gave no response.

Just yesterday during a lengthy chat I had with UKIP's chairman, my opinion of Mandela was still more of an issue than anything else.

Do you foresee the same fate of the UK when it has a non-White majority as is happening in South Africa now? That is, will Whites be increasingly victimized and will the criminal justice system be increasingly inefficient or unwilling to prosecute White victims of crime by non-Whites?

Yes, certainly.

The Left turn a blind eye to the murder and mayhem being perpetrated against indigenous Western European people and then guilt us into believing that due to our ancestors' actions (mine were sugar plantation workers, labourers, soldiers, RAF pilots, seamen and bricklayers) we are somehow responsible for the violence.

I merely look at the state of both of our countries, where one is all but prohibited from mentioning the race of the perpetrator when the victim is White. Giving violent hate-filled felons a free pass to harm others is a recipe for disaster, and we're seeing the result of that now.

Although Black people are overrepresented at every stage of the criminal justice system, the British media gives them a free pass while our academic elite excuses their actions. We are taught to mollycoddle them, which only exacerbates the problem.

If we continue to allow the left to indoctrinate our children, run the media and tell us how to think, I can't see how that will change anytime soon.

I'd like to mention that in South Africa many of the victims of hate crimes themselves are non-white and mixed race. There's a massive Afrikaans speaking coloured community in South Africa, as well as a South Asian one that's also being preyed upon. Non-blacks are deliberately being targeted as the state cares little about their well-being either. I think by being more inclusive in our sympathy we may be able to garner more support from the mainstream — something in fact a few of my Afrikaner partners have also realised and incorporated into their activism.

People might not want to hear this, but many South African Whites themselves have African ancestry and, by way of the one drop rule, have as much right to be in Africa as any full Black person. The fact that they present or self-identify as White doesn't mean they aren't African culturally or ethnically. One need only spend a few moments in South Africa to know that racial lines are blurred. Under Apartheid, families were often divided, with siblings from the same household attending different schools because of how mixed society in fact is.

When I was a child and the authorities were deciding where you'd attend school, they'd test your hair, measure the size of your lips and then, if you had dark skin, decide whether it was a product of the sun or not. Although I have an Indian surname I attended a White school whereas I knew children with lighter hair and Afrikaner parents that were placed in non-white schools, due to their facial features.

White nationalists seem to look at the White South African as some sort of pure White coloniser, when in fact many Afrikaners can trace their ancestry back to original settlers and their Malay, Indian and sometimes even Black lovers. Although unacknowledged by the nationalist government, [Stellenbosch](#) founder, [Simon van der Stel](#), was himself mixed race.

To say that Afrikaner people, most of whom have no clue who all of their

early ancestors were, have no right to be in South Africa, is wrong on so many levels.

Still with extremists on both sides deciding who's oppressed and who isn't, what race one belongs to or doesn't, people will always be in a state of identity confusion that racial profiteers can exploit.

If it suits the narrative for a mixed person to be Black, he will be. If it suits them to be White, he will be. I merely think of Barack Obama. And the far left and right are both guilty of this.

I myself am viewed by the British establishment as a neo-Nazi and White supremacist —remarkably, this is how I am described on websites and blogs set up to expose racist politicians — simply because I came out against Jews.

One of the local reporters — a fella that has actually been quite supportive — has labeled me the West Lancs Hitler.

If I'd won the election my guess is I'd have been labelled UKIP's first Asian MP in spite of the fact I have at most 25% Asian ancestry and look about as Indian as Cristiano Ronaldo.

I think encouraging predominantly White people who present as White to identify as white and fight for European and White causes is a sensible course of action.